

The Painlevé Test of Higher Dimensional KdV Equation

YU Song-Ju and Takeshi FUKUYAMA

Department of Physics, Ritsumeikan University

Kusatsu, Shiga 525 JAPAN

e-mails: fpc30017@bkc.ritsumei.ac.jp, fukuyama@bkc.ritsumei.ac.jp

Abstract

We argue the integrability of the generalized KdV(GKdV) equation using the Painlevé test. For $d(\leq 2)$ dimensional space, GKdV equation passes the Painlevé test but does not for $d \geq 3$ dimensional space. We also apply the Ablowitz-Ramani-Segur's conjecture to the GKdV equation in order to complement the Painlevé test.

It is one of the most important challenges in the non-linear integrable physics to extend its spatial dimension to two, three, $\dots$. One step to this generalization is the cylindrical and spherical extension of one dimensional integrable systems. Toda lattice and KdV equations are generalized to cylindrical Toda and cylindrical KdV equations. We know that both these generalized systems are also integrable systems. Then it is quite natural to ask whether spherical generalization to higher dimensions becomes also integrable or not. The purpose of the present letter is to answer to this question through the Painlevé test using KdV equation.

d -dimensional generalization of KdV(GKdV) equation is given by[1]

$$u_t + 6uu_x + u_{xxx} + \frac{(d-1)}{2t}u = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $d = 1, 2$ and 3 correspond to KdV, cylindrical KdV and spherical KdV equations, respectively.

We expand dependent variable $u(x, t)$ around the movable singular manifold μ [2, 3]

$$u(x, t) \equiv \phi_{(x,t)}^{-j} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} u_l(x, t) \phi^l(x, t), \quad (2)$$

$$\mu = \{(x, t) \mid \phi(x, t) = 0\}, \quad (3)$$

where $j(\geq 0)$ is integer. Substituting Eq(2) into GKdV equation(1), we get the leading term,

$$6ju_0\phi^{-2j-1} + j(j+1)(j+2)\phi_x^2\phi^{-j-3} = 0. \quad (4)$$

It follows that

$$j = 2 \quad (5)$$

from the condition of the coincidence of ϕ 's power.

Also we can find resonances at $l = -1, 4, 6$. $l = -1$ corresponds to arbitrariness of singularity manifold $\mu(\phi(x, t))$. We can determine u_l 's by requiring that every power of ϕ should satisfy Eq.(1).

$$\phi^{-5} \quad ; \quad u_0 = -2\phi_x^2, \quad (6)$$

$$\phi^{-4} \quad ; \quad u_1 = 2\phi_{xx}, \quad (7)$$

$$\phi^{-3} \quad ; \quad \phi_t\phi_x + 6u_2\phi_x^2 - 3\phi_{xx}^2 + 4\phi_x\phi_{xxx} = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{-2} \quad ; \quad & \frac{(d-1)u_0}{2t} - u_1\phi_x + u_{0t} - 6u_1u_2\phi_x - 6u_0u_3\phi_x \\ & + 6u_2u_{0x} + 6u_1u_{1x} + 6u_0u_{2x} - 3u_{1x}\phi_{xx} - 3u_{1xx}\phi_x \\ & - u_1\phi_{xxx} + u_{0xxx} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\phi^{-1} \quad ; \quad \frac{(d-1)u_1}{2t} + u_{1t} + [6u_3u_0 + 6u_2u_1 + u_{1xx}]_x = 0, \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^0 \quad ; \quad & \frac{(d-1)u_2}{2t} + u_3\phi_t + u_{2t} + 6u_2u_3\phi_x - 6u_5\phi_x^3 \\ & + 13u_3\phi_{xxx} + 6u_2u_{2x} + 15u_{3x}\phi_{xx} + 3u_{3xx}\phi_x \\ & + u_{2xxx} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^1 \quad ; \quad & \frac{(d-1)u_3}{2t} + u_{3t} + 6u_3^2\phi_x + 18u_5\phi_x\phi_{xx} + 6(u_2u_3)_x \\ & + 6u_{5x}\phi_x^2 + u_{3xxx} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

At resonant parts appearing in ϕ^{-1} and ϕ^1 terms, the coefficients of u_4 and u_6 are identically vanished. So u_4 and u_6 remain undetermined as is expected. So we put

$$u_4 = u_6 = 0. \quad (13)$$

If we substitute u_1 , u_2 and u_3 determined by the preceding equations into it, Eq(10) for ϕ^{-1} term is identically satisfied irrelevant to d . However, the situation is different for ϕ^1 term. The same substitution of u_l 's into Eq(12) gives

$$\frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{12t^2\phi_x} = 0. \quad (14)$$

Thus only GKdV equations with $d = 1$ and $d = 2$ pass the Painlevé test.

We know that solutions of KdV equation($d = 1$) and cylindrical KdV equation($d = 2$) are obtained by uses of Hirota's method[4, 5] and of the inverse scattering method.[6, 7, 8]

Therefore we have reassured the well known result. Important is the fact that $d \geq 3$ dimensional extension does not pass the Painlevé test. Does it mean that these higher dimensional extensions are not integrable? We can say that the nonlinear system is integrable if it passes the Painlevé test. But is the converse of this statement true at least in this case? In order to answer to this question, we show for $d \geq 3$ that u has a logarithmic divergence.

We have mentioned that we can not have an arbitrary function u_6 for $(d-1)(d-2) \neq 0$. So instead of Eq.(2) we consider the expansion[9]

$$u(x, t) \equiv \phi_{(x,t)}^{-2} \sum_{l=0, (l \neq 6)}^{\infty} u_l(x, t) \phi^l(x, t) + (u_6 + v_6 \log \phi) \phi^4. \quad (15)$$

Substituting Eq.(15) into GKdV equation(1), we obtain Eqs.(6) $\sim$ (11) and the new equation in place of Eq.(12)

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^1 \quad ; \quad & \frac{(d-1)u_3}{2t} + u_{3t} + 6u_3^2\phi_x + 18u_5\phi_x\phi_{xx} + 6(u_2u_3)_x \\ & + 6u_{5x}\phi_x^2 + u_{3xxx} + 14v_6\phi_x^3 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Repeating the same procedure as in the previous case we obtain

$$\frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{12t^2\phi_x} + 14v_6\phi_x^3 = 0 \quad (17)$$

and the consistency is restored for $(d-1)(d-2) \neq 0$ case. Thus $u(x, t)$ has a movable logarithmic branch point. This suggests strongly that GKdV equations with $d \geq 3$ are not integrable.[10]

In order to compliment the Painlevé test we argue GKdV equation from another side concerning with the Ablowitz-Ramani-Segur's(ARS) conjecture.[11]

ARS-conjecture is summarized as follows. If every nonlinear ordinary differential equation obtained by an exact reduction of a nonlinear partial differential equation are integrable, then the original partial differential equation is also integrable.

We assume $u_2 = u_3 = 0$. Then Eq.(2) becomes

$$u(x, t) = 2(\log \phi)_{xx}, \quad (18)$$

where we have used Eq.(6) and Eq.(7). Direct substitution of Eq.(18) into Eq.(1) yields the bilinear form of GKdV equation

$$(D_t D_x + D_x^4 + \frac{d-1}{2t} \partial_x) \phi \cdot \phi = 0. \quad (19)$$

Here D is the Hirota's D operator.[12]

We expand ϕ as follows

$$\phi \equiv 1 + \epsilon \phi^{(1)} + \epsilon^2 \phi^{(2)} + \dots \quad (20)$$

and substitute it into GKdV bilinear equation(19). Comparing both hand sides of equation order by order of ϵ , we obtain

$$\epsilon \quad ; \quad (\partial_t \partial_x + \partial_x^4 + \frac{d-1}{2t} \partial_x) \phi^{(1)} = 0, \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^2 \quad ; \quad & 2(\partial_t \partial_x + \partial_x^4 + \frac{d-1}{2t} \partial_x) \phi^{(2)} = \\ & -(D_t D_x + D_x^4 + \frac{d-1}{2t} \partial_x) \phi^{(1)} \cdot \phi^{(1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

We only consider one-soliton solution, i.e, $\phi^{(k)} = 0$, $k \geq 2$ since it is suffice to test the integrability. Then Eqs (21) and (22) become

$$\frac{1}{(\alpha t')^{3/\beta}} \phi_{3z}^{(1)} - \frac{z}{\beta t'} \phi_z^{(1)} + \frac{\gamma}{t'^\delta} \phi^{(1)} + \frac{d-1}{2t'} \phi^{(1)} = 0 \quad (23)$$

and

$$\frac{3}{(\alpha t')^{3/\beta}} \phi_{2z}^{(1)2} - \frac{3z}{\beta t'} \phi_z^{(1)2} + \frac{3\gamma}{t'^\delta} \phi^{(1)} \phi_z^{(1)} + \frac{2(d-1)}{t'} \phi^{(1)} \phi_z^{(1)} = 0. \quad (24)$$

Here we have performed independent variables transformation,

$$z = \frac{x}{(\alpha t)^{1/\beta}}, t' = t \quad (25)$$

and have assumed that

$$\phi_{t'}^{(1)} \equiv \frac{\gamma}{t'^\delta} \phi^{(1)}. \quad (26)$$

Assuming furthermore that the variables can be separated, the solutions to Eqs.(23) and (24) are classified into the following five cases.

1. Case of $3/\beta \neq \delta$, $3/\beta \neq 1$ and $\delta \neq 1$

$$\phi^{(1)} = 0. \quad (27)$$

2. Case of $3/\beta \neq \delta$, $3/\beta \neq 1$ and $\delta = 1$

$$\phi^{(1)} = 0. \quad (28)$$

3. Case of $3/\beta = \delta \neq 1$

$$\phi^{(1)} = 0. \quad (29)$$

4. Case of $3/\beta = 1$ and $\delta \neq 1$

$$\phi^{(1)} = 0. \quad (30)$$

5. Case of $3/\beta = \delta = 1$

Only this case allows non trivial solution.

Eqs.(23), (24) become

$$(3\gamma + 2d - 3)\phi_z^{(1)2} - (3\gamma + d - 1)\phi^{(1)}\phi_{2z}^{(1)} = 0. \quad (31)$$

Then this case is further divided into subgroup (a) and (b). (a) is furthermore classified into sub-subgroup i. and ii..

- (a) Case of $d = 2$

Eq.(31) becomes

$$(3\gamma + 1)(\phi_z^{(1)2} - \phi^{(1)}\phi_{2z}^{(1)}) = 0. \quad (32)$$

i. Case of $3\gamma + 1 = 0$

We assume $\phi^{(1)} \equiv T(t)Z(z)$, then $T(t) \propto t^{1/3}$ and Eqs. (23), (24) become

$$\frac{1}{\alpha}Z_{3z} - \frac{z}{3}Z_z + \frac{1}{6}Z = 0, \quad (33)$$

$$\frac{3}{\alpha}Z_{2z}^2 - zZ_z^2 + ZZ_z = 0. \quad (34)$$

Here we introduce new dependent variable by $Z_z \equiv -\psi^2$, then we obtain from Eqs.(33) and (34)

$$\psi_{zz} = z\psi. \quad (35)$$

Therefore we get a special solution of 2-dimensional case using Airy function($Ai(z)$)

$$\phi^{(1)}(z, t) = Ct^{-\frac{1}{3}} \int_z^\infty dz' Ai(z')^2, \quad (36)$$

where C is a constant.

ii. Case of $3\gamma + 1 \neq 0$

It follows that

$$\phi_z^{(1)2} - \phi^{(1)}\phi_{2z}^{(1)} = 0. \quad (37)$$

We cannot define $\phi^{(1)}$.

(b) Case of $d \neq 2$

Substitution of $\phi^{(1)} \equiv T(t)\exp(\lambda(z))$ into Eq. (31) yields the equation for $\lambda(z)$

$$\lambda_{2z} - \frac{d-2}{3\gamma+d-1}\lambda_z^2 = 0. \quad (38)$$

Therefore we get another special solution for $d(\neq 2)$ -dimensional case

$$\phi^{(1)}(z, t) = Ct^\gamma(z + z_0)^{\frac{3\gamma+d-1}{2-d}}, \quad (39)$$

where C and z_0 are constants.

From Eq.(39) it is concluded that GKdV equation(1) with $d \geq 3$ is nonintegrable if $\frac{3\gamma+d-1}{2-d}$ is fractional. However, we fix γ as $3\gamma + 1 = 0$, Eq. (39) has only a movable singularity.

We did not and could not exhaust the reductions in the sense of ARS conjecture. Eq.(39) of course does not imply that GKdV equations with $d \geq 3$ are integrable. As we have mentioned these equations are probably nonintegrable. Definite conclusion, however, may be obtained when the relationships between the miscellaneous integrability tests are made clear.

References

- [1] A. Nakamura: Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **94**(1988)195.
- [2] J. Weiss, U. Tabor and G. Carneval: J. Math. Phys. **24**(1983)522.
- [3] For Reviews of the Painlevé test, A. Ramani, B. Grammaticos and T. Bountis: Phys. Rep. **180**(1989)159.
- [4] A. Nakamura: J. Phys. Soc. Jp. **49**(1980)2380.
- [5] A. Nakamura, H. Chen: J. Phys. Soc. Jp. **50**,(1981),711.
- [6] F. Calogero, A. Degasperis: Lett. AL. Nuovo Ciment. **23**(1978)143.
- [7] F. Calogero, A. Degasperis: Lett. AL. Nuovo Ciment. **23**(1978)150.
- [8] F. Calogero, A. Degasperis: Lett. AL. Nuovo Ciment. **23**(1978)155.
- [9] The authors are grateful to J.Hietarinta for his comment on this point.
- [10] S.Maxon, J.Viecelli: Phys. Rev. Lett. **32**(1974)4.
- [11] M. J. Ablowitz, A. Ramani and H. Segur: J. Math. Phys. **21**(1980)715.
- [12] R. Hirota: Topics in Current Physics 17 Solitons (Springer-Verlag,1980), p 157.